

THE ASSET TRACING
AND RECOVERY
REVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Editor
Robert Hunter

THE LAWREVIEWS

THE ASSET TRACING
AND RECOVERY
REVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd
This article was first published in September 2020
For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor
Robert Hunter

THE LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER

Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER

Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS

Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE

Rebecca Mogridge

RESEARCH LEAD

Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR

Hannah Higgins

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR

Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR

Anne Borthwick

SUBEDITOR

Martin Roach

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK
© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at August 2020, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above.

Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed
to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-434-7

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

AARNA LAW LLP

ALLEN & GLEDHILL LLP

ALLEN & OVERY LLP

ALLENS

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

BAKER MCKENZIE

BECH-BRUUN ADVOKATPARTNERSELSKAB

BOFILL ESCOBAR SILVA ABOGADOS

CMS RUSSIA

DONALD MANASSE LAW OFFICES

EDMONDS MARSHALL MCMAHON LTD

GASSER PARTNER ATTORNEYS AT LAW

HAN KUN LAW OFFICES

HOGAN LOVELLS

KIM & CHANG

KOBRE & KIM

MARVAL O'FARRELL MAIRAL

METIS RECHTSANWÄLTE PARTG MBB

MICHAEL KYPRIANOU & CO LLC

MONFRINI BITTON KLEIN

RAHMAT LIM & PARTNERS

ROBERT HUNTER CONSULTANTS

SIQUEIRA CASTRO ADVOGADOS

SQUIRE PATTON BOGGS

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP

STIBBE

STUDIO LEGALE PISANO

URÍA MENÉNDEZ – PROENÇA DE CARVALHO

WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & CO KG

CONTENTS

PREFACE.....	vii
<i>Robert Hunter</i>	
Chapter 1	ARGENTINA..... 1
<i>Pedro Serrano Espelta and María Agustina Testa</i>	
Chapter 2	AUSTRALIA..... 16
<i>Christopher Prestwich</i>	
Chapter 3	AUSTRIA..... 32
<i>Valerie Hohenberg and Claudia Brewi</i>	
Chapter 4	BELGIUM 46
<i>Hans Van Bavel and Tobe Inghelbrecht</i>	
Chapter 5	BRAZIL 59
<i>João Daniel Rassi, André Osório Gondinbo, Emerson Soares Mendes and Pedro Luís de Almeida Camargo</i>	
Chapter 6	BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS 70
<i>Peter Tyers-Smith, Timothy P de Swardt and Merrick Ricardo Watson</i>	
Chapter 7	CANADA..... 89
<i>John J Pirie, Matthew J Latella, David Gadsden and Michael Nowina</i>	
Chapter 8	CHILE..... 108
<i>Jorge Bofill and Guillermo Chahuán</i>	
Chapter 9	CHINA..... 118
<i>Ronghua (Andy) Liao</i>	
Chapter 10	CYPRUS..... 134
<i>Menelaos Kyprianou</i>	

Contents

Chapter 11	DENMARK.....	144
	<i>Anders Hauge Gløde</i>	
Chapter 12	ENGLAND AND WALES.....	156
	<i>Robert Hunter, Jack Walsh and Ekaterina Pakerova</i>	
Chapter 13	GERMANY.....	181
	<i>Florian Wettner</i>	
Chapter 14	HONG KONG	195
	<i>Randall Arthur, Joyce Xiang and Calvin Koo</i>	
Chapter 15	INDIA	209
	<i>Sandeep Baldava and Shreyas Jayasimha</i>	
Chapter 16	ITALY	223
	<i>Roberto Pisano</i>	
Chapter 17	LIECHTENSTEIN.....	234
	<i>Thomas Nigg</i>	
Chapter 18	LUXEMBOURG.....	244
	<i>François Kremer and Ariel Devillers</i>	
Chapter 19	MALAYSIA	258
	<i>Jack Yow, Daphne Koo and Kwong Chiew Ee</i>	
Chapter 20	MEXICO	275
	<i>Juan Francisco Torres-Landa Ruffo, Luis Omar Guerrero Rodríguez, Jorge Francisco Valdés King, Jacobo Enrique Rueda Fernández and Eduardo Lobatón Guzmán</i>	
Chapter 21	MONACO.....	289
	<i>Donald Manasse</i>	
Chapter 22	NETHERLANDS.....	301
	<i>Neyah van der Aa, Thijs Geesink, Gijs Kerstjens and Rose Fernando</i>	
Chapter 23	PORTUGAL.....	315
	<i>Fernando Aguilar de Carvalho and Nair Mauricio Cordas</i>	

Chapter 24	RUSSIA	329
	<i>Sergey Yuryev</i>	
Chapter 25	SINGAPORE.....	339
	<i>Aaron Lee and Lee May Ling</i>	
Chapter 26	SOUTH KOREA	353
	<i>Michael S Kim, Robin J Baik, S Nathan Park and Chiyong Rim</i>	
Chapter 27	SPAIN.....	363
	<i>Fernando González</i>	
Chapter 28	SWITZERLAND	371
	<i>Yves Klein and Antonia Mottironi</i>	
Chapter 29	UNITED STATES	389
	<i>Steven K Davidson, Michael J Baratz, Jared R Butcher and Molly Bruder Fox</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	417
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	441

PREFACE

As Warren Buffet famously said, ‘only when the tide goes out do you discover who has been swimming naked’. The coronavirus pandemic has offered the global economy another opportunity to prove him right. Not only are new frauds being discovered, but the growing recession will challenge the budgets of victims, regulators and criminal enforcement bodies to bring those responsible to justice and to retrieve the proceeds. Remote interpersonal dealings are increasing the distance between business counterparties in a way that the internet did, and the growth of cryptocurrency transactions continues to do.

It is not possible to predict the trajectory of these developments. While it is now a cliché to speak of the ‘new normal’, nobody can be actually sure what that normal will be. Some even dispute that it is useful to speak of a normal at all. Nassim Taleb has argued that the financial world is more frequently and radically affected by extreme and unpredictable occurrences (which he calls ‘Sigma’ or ‘Black Swan’ events) than we acknowledge. According to Taleb, we live in ‘extremistan’ and not ‘mediocristan’. He has suggested that it is part of our makeup to blind ourselves to the influence of what we cannot predict.

Taleb may be right. For my part, I rather think that he is. But amid all the unpredictability, there are nevertheless some certainties. Society depends upon trust, and there will always be some people who abuse it. So some people will always commit fraud. Globalisation has ensured that major fraud will usually have an international element. Fraud lawyers will therefore have to be internationally minded.

Perhaps most of all, the growing international and technical complexity of fraud will continue to outstrip the ability of any one person to understand or remedy it. One of the heartening things about the legal profession over the past 25 years or so is the growth of an international community of lawyers specialising in fraud and asset tracing work who share knowledge and experience with each other about the events in their fields. This book continues to be a useful contribution to that community.

Robert Hunter

Robert Hunter Consultants

August 2020

SWITZERLAND

*Yves Klein and Antonia Mottironi*¹

I OVERVIEW

Despite its small size (eight million inhabitants), Switzerland is a leading jurisdiction in asset recovery disputes, as there is rarely a global asset recovery case that does not have at least one Swiss element.

Switzerland has been for decades and remains the main offshore banking centre in the world, with more than one-quarter, or US\$2.2 trillion, of the world's foreign assets under management.² Furthermore, Switzerland has more recently become the world's number one commodity trading hub. Its global market share is estimated at 35 per cent for oil, 60 per cent for metals and 50 per cent for sugar and cereals respectively.³

It is therefore not surprising that a fair share of cross-border asset recovery disputes involve Swiss banks or financial intermediaries, or commodity trading companies, as assets, claims and evidence lie in Switzerland.

Of particular importance is the commitment of the Swiss law enforcement authorities to investigate money laundering and to assist victims of crime when they participate as plaintiffs in criminal proceedings.

The situation has recently improved with the adoption of a new cross-border insolvency regime, in force since January 2019, which aims at simplifying the recognition of foreign insolvencies and the work of foreign officeholders. The most recent federal case law has also improved the position of foreign liquidators in the collection of pretrial evidence in support of civil claims.

II LEGAL RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

In Switzerland, as in most civil law jurisdictions, civil remedies need to be supplemented with criminal remedies to achieve a successful asset recovery strategy.

Due to the lack of a proper discovery process under the Swiss rules of civil procedure, civil proceedings in fraud-related matters are in most cases preceded by criminal proceedings so as to obtain evidence and secure assets in support of civil claims.

Rather than opting for the civil route, the institution of criminal proceedings enables the victims of fraud participating as plain-tiffs to request that the law enforcement authorities

1 Yves Klein is a partner and Antonia Mottironi is counsel at Monfrini Bitton Klein.

2 www.swissinfo.ch/eng/international-money-switzerland-remains-biggest-offshore-wealth-centre-/44193170; www.swissbanking.org/finanzplatz-in-zahlen/the-swiss-banking-centre/.

3 www.publiceye.ch/en/topics/commodities-trading/switzerland/commodities-hub.

issue broad freezing and disclosure orders from defendants and third parties holding assets or information. The Swiss criminal system enables plaintiffs, to a certain extent, to be compensated with their losses.

Fraud has a narrower meaning under Swiss law than the general meaning it is given by asset recovery practitioners. Pursuant to Article 146(1) of the Swiss Penal Code (SPC), a fraud is committed by ‘any person who with a view to securing an unlawful gain for himself or another wilfully induces an erroneous belief in another person by false pretences or concealment of the truth, or wilfully reinforces an erroneous belief, and thus causes that person to act to the prejudice of his or another’s financial interests’. For the purpose of this chapter, the term fraud is defined broadly to include, in particular but not limitedly, the following felonies⁴ within the meaning of Article 10(1) and (2) SPC: embezzlement,⁵ fraud,⁶ criminal mismanagement,⁷ felonies committed in bankruptcy,⁸ forgery⁹ and public bribery.¹⁰ Swiss law provides for two types of criminal corporate liability for Swiss or foreign legal entities:

- a* subsidiary criminal liability if it is not possible to attribute to a specific person a felony or misdemeanour committed within a company due to its inadequate organisation; and
- b* primary liability with regard to money laundering, organised crime and bribery independently of the criminal liability of individuals if a company did not take all the reasonable and necessary organisational measures to prevent such offences.¹¹

i Civil and criminal remedies

Criminal remedies

The Swiss Code of Penal Procedure (SCPP) provides ample rights to persons harmed by a crime, and Swiss criminal proceedings are in most cases the key to obtaining evidence and freezing assets.

Where fraud is committed in Switzerland or abroad, criminal proceedings may be opened if Swiss jurisdiction is given pursuant to Articles 3–8 SPC to pursue the predicate offence or the subsequent money laundering of the proceeds of the felonies committed, or both. Criminal proceedings can be opened *ex officio* (e.g., after a suspicious activity report is sent by the Money Laundering Reporting Office Switzerland (MROS), the Swiss financial intelligence unit, to the criminal authorities) or upon a criminal complaint by a private plaintiff.¹² Criminal proceedings are opened by the office of the attorney general (through a prosecutor) when the reports or the first investigations show that there exists a sufficient suspicion that a criminal offence was committed.¹³

4 Felonies are distinguished from misdemeanours according to the severity of the penalties that an offence carries. Felonies are offences that carry a custodial sentence of more than three years.

5 Article 138 SPC.

6 Article 146 SPC.

7 Article 158 SPC.

8 Article 163 ff SCP.

9 Article 251 SPC.

10 Article 322 ter ff SPC.

11 Article 102 SCP.

12 Article 301 SCPP.

13 Article 309(1)(a) SCPP.

Any individual or legal entity (including a Swiss or a foreign public entity) whose rights, as legally protected by the applicable provision of the Swiss Penal Code,¹⁴ have been directly harmed by a crime subject is deemed to be an aggrieved person.¹⁵

Only persons or entities that have been directly harmed by a crime may be admitted as plaintiffs. Persons who are indirectly aggrieved by a crime, such as the shareholders, the directors, the employees, the creditors or the assignees of the direct victim of the crime are not considered to be aggrieved persons.

An aggrieved person may file a criminal complaint or join existing criminal proceedings by declaring his or her intention to become a plaintiff¹⁶ supporting the prosecution or suing for damages, or both.¹⁷

During a criminal investigation, the plaintiff has essentially the same party rights as the suspect, in particular:

- a to require orders from the prosecutor (including freezing, production and search orders);¹⁸
- b to attend the examination of witnesses or suspects and have questions put to them;¹⁹
- c to receive notification of the decisions of the prosecutor and appeal against those that aggrieve his or her rights²⁰ and file observations in respect of other parties' appeals;²¹ and
- d to access the file, with the right to take a copy.²²

The prosecutor has a duty to gather evidence relating to the civil claims of the plaintiff, inasmuch as it does not unduly expand or delay the procedure.²³

In addition to the right to participate in the criminal investigation, the person aggrieved by the crime, who made the civil plaintiff declaration²⁴ during the criminal investigation, may, in the context of a criminal trial, request the award of damages against the accused person. The award part of the criminal judgment has the same effect as a judgment issued by a civil court.²⁵

Civil remedies²⁶

It is only if the Swiss conflict of law rules, governed by the Private International Law Act (SPILA), designate Swiss law as the substantive law that it shall apply (see below).

The Swiss law of obligations is governed by the Swiss Code of Obligations (SCO).

14 When a provision is meant to exclusively protect public or collective interests, its breach, even if it causes damage to a person, does not entitle such person to be considered as injured under the law of criminal procedure.

15 Article 115 SCPP.

16 Article 118 SCPP.

17 Article 119 SCPP.

18 Article 109 SCPP.

19 Article 147 SCPP.

20 Articles 393 and 382 SCPP.

21 Article 390 SCPP.

22 Article 101 SCPP.

23 Article 313 SCPP.

24 Article 119(2)(b) SCPP.

25 Article 122 SCPP.

26 Civil liability for breach of contract is excluded from this chapter.

Tort liability²⁷ is given when the claimant proves that the defendant committed an unlawful act.

In addition to civil fraud²⁸ and infringement of absolute rights such as property rights, tort liability will exist in cases of criminal offences when the goal of these offences is to protect assets or interests that were harmed. Complicity or inducement to commit a criminal offence²⁹ will entail liability for tort.

Under Article 55(2) SCC, the governing officers bind the legal entity by concluding transactions and by their other actions. Under Article 55(1) SCO, the employer is liable for the damage caused by its employees in the performance of their work unless it proves that it took all due care to avoid damage of this type or that the loss or damage would have occurred even if all due care had been taken.

The burden of proof lies with the plaintiff.³⁰

In addition to the unlawful act, the claimant has to prove a loss, causation between the unlawful act and the loss, and a fault.

Most offences against property are intentional offences and thus may only form the basis of an unlawful act in the sense of Article 41 SCO if wilful intention can be established. Likewise, complicity in or inducement to commit an offence has to be intentional.

In the case of loss resulting from an unlawful act, the injured party is entitled to compensation of its negative interest, that is, to be put back in the situation in which it would have found itself if the loss-causing event had not occurred. Compensation of damage only consists of direct losses, to the exclusion of indirect losses.

Swiss substantive law does not know the concept of constructive trust. However, certain similar results may be achieved through the provisions governing unjust enrichment provision,³¹ where restitution is required for what has been received without valid cause, and agency without authority,³² where the agent who acted against the principal's interests must compensate the principal for its losses and remit its gains to the principal.

Civil proceedings are governed by the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (SCCP).

The filing of a claim needs in principle to be preceded by an attempt at conciliation. If the conciliation fails, the claimant may file its particulars of claim. Particulars of claim must contain a precise allegation of the facts, with the exhibits supporting them and the additional proof proposed (examination of witnesses, provision of documents held by the defendant or third parties, mutual assistance, expert reports, etc.; affidavits or witness statements are not admissible evidence). There is no discovery process, and the production of documents is usually limited to a few documents, which must be precisely described by the party requesting them.

In principle, evidence is administered only after the parties have exchanged their briefs and rejoinders. It is only under specific conditions that a claimant may make new factual allegations or amplify the reliefs sought.

27 Article 41 SCO.

28 Article 28 SCO.

29 Article 25 SPC.

30 Article 8 SCC.

31 Article 62 SCO.

32 Article 419 SCO.

Consequently, in cases where many of the facts are initially unknown to the victim of a fraud, it is advisable to collect evidence and secure assets through criminal proceedings before starting civil proceedings.

Trials in first instance typically last from one to three years but may last even longer in the case of preliminary objections or obtaining evidence abroad. Appeals to the cantonal court of appeal usually last less than a year, as well as those to the Federal Supreme Court.

ii Defences to fraud claims

The main defences to fraud claims are limitations and waivers. Limitations in tort claims are of one year from the moment the injured party became aware of the loss and the person who caused it and, in any case, 10 years from the day on which the loss-causing event occurred.³³ However, if the losses derive from a punishable act subject to a longer limitation period under criminal law, this longer limitation period applies to civil claims.³⁴ Under Swiss criminal law, limitation is of 15 years for felonies and of 10 years for money laundering.³⁵ Criminal law limitation only stops running when a criminal judgment is issued in first instance.³⁶ Actions for illicit enrichment are subject to limitation after one year from the day on which the injured party became aware of its right of recovery and, in any case, after 10 years from the emergence of this right.³⁷ Civil limitation (whether for contractual, tort or unjust enrichment claims) may be interrupted, launching a new full limitation period, by initiating debt enforcement proceedings, filing an application for conciliation, submission of a statement of claim or defence to a court or arbitral tribunal, or a petition for bankruptcy.³⁸

General terms of conditions, notably of Swiss banks, usually contain waivers of liability and transfer of risk clauses. However, such clauses do not apply to gross negligence.³⁹

The *ex turpi causa non oritur actio* is not available as such under Swiss law, except under the narrow perspective of abuse of rights and public policy. Under Article 44 SCO, however, the judge may reduce the losses, or even not award them, when the injured party has consented to the injury or when facts for which he or she is responsible have contributed to creating the loss or increasing it, or aggravating the debtor's situation. Under case law, the injured party may be accused of not having exercised the necessary care to safeguard its own interests, provided, however, that it should have been able to foresee the occurrence of a possible loss and still did not adapt its conduct. The contributory negligence is assessed objectively and the injured party's conduct must be compared with that of a reasonable person in an identical situation.

33 Article 60 SCO.

34 Article 60(2) SCO.

35 Article 97 SPC.

36 Article 97(3) SPC.

37 Article 67 SCO.

38 Article 135 SCO.

39 Articles 100 and 101 SCO.

III SEIZURE AND EVIDENCE

i Securing assets and proceeds

There are three ways of securing assets and proceeds in Switzerland: criminal freezing orders,⁴⁰ civil attachment orders⁴¹ and insolvency freezing orders.⁴²

Article 263(1) SPCP provides that items and valuables belonging to the accused or to a third party may be frozen if it is expected that the items or assets:

- a* will be used as evidence;
- b* will be used as security for procedural costs, monetary penalties, fines or costs;
- c* will have to be returned to the persons suffering harm; or
- d* will have to be forfeited.

All assets that are likely to be forfeited at the end of proceedings based on Article 70 SPC must be seized during the investigation. These assets may be in Switzerland or abroad, in which case they must be seized by rogatory commission. The freeze takes precedence over any other decision obtained by creditors.

Freezing orders also extend to assets that may be seized to guarantee the replacement claim of the state in respect of crime proceeds that are no longer available for confiscation.⁴³ In respect of those assets, the state has no preferable rights over those of other creditors.

Freezing orders may be issued in view of the restitution of assets to their rightful owner, but not to secure a claim for damages of an aggrieved person. Consequently, the aggrieved person may have to also obtain freezing orders through civil proceedings, namely attachments obtained in the context of debt collection proceedings or enforcement of foreign freezing orders.

The accounts targeted by a freezing order may be described in a generic form, such as ‘any account of which designated persons are holders, beneficial owners, signatories or introducers, as well as any account that may have received transfers from or made transfers to, that account’.

Civil attachment orders have a narrower scope, as those can only affect the assets held at the moment when an order is received. The requirements for obtaining these orders are also stricter. The main difficulty for creditors is to identify assets located in Switzerland, as the likelihood of the existence of Swiss assets has to be brought before the civil court. Pre-enforcement discovery is not available.

The cause for a civil attachment by a creditor⁴⁴ can be any of the following:

- a* the debtor has no fixed place of residence or abode anywhere, in Switzerland or abroad;
- b* the debtor has dissipated assets, and has fled the jurisdiction or is preparing to flee in order to defeat enforcement of undischarged debts;
- c* the debtor is in transit or is a person visiting markets or fairs, provided the relevant claim is of a nature that requires immediate payment;

40 Articles 263–268 SPCP.

41 Articles 271–281 Swiss Debt Collection and Bankruptcy Act (SDCBA).

42 Articles 221–223 of the SDCBA.

43 Article 71 SPC.

44 Article 271 SDCBA.

- d* the debtor has no residence in Switzerland, and no other causes for an attachment are fulfilled, but the claim has a sufficient nexus with Switzerland or is based on a written acknowledgment of debt;
- e* the creditor holds certificates evidencing former unsuccessful attempts at enforcement in respect of undischarged debts of the debtor; and
- f* the creditor holds a title for final enforcement under the Swiss Debt Collection and Bankruptcy Act (SDCBA), typically a domestic or foreign judgment or arbitral award.⁴⁵

The court at the place where assets are located issues a freeze order *ex parte* if the creditor demonstrates, through documentary evidence, the likelihood that it has a monetary claim; there exists a cause for an attachment order as listed above; and there are assets at hand belonging to the debtor.⁴⁶

The likelihood of the existence of the assets to be attached may be demonstrated by direct or circumstantial evidence.

The attachment order describes specific assets and may target assets in several locations, including outside of the canton of the court.

The debtor may file proceedings of objection to the attachment. In parallel, the creditor must validate the attachment by requesting that an order to pay be issued against the debtor, who may object to it.⁴⁷ The setting aside of the objection may take place through the enforcement of an existing Swiss or foreign judgment or by filing a civil action on the merits in Switzerland or abroad. The final seizure of the attached assets and distribution to the creditors cannot occur before the end of the proceedings on the setting aside of the objection to the order to pay.

If a company in bankruptcy is involved in a fraudulent scheme, as a victim or as a tool of the perpetrator, the judgment of bankruptcy, respectively the judgment on recognition of a foreign insolvency decree, triggers the opening of bankruptcy. Upon receipt of the judgment, the local bankruptcy office must immediately take all necessary measures in view of its execution, such as the freezing of bank accounts. These orders are issued *ex officio* and sent to the main Swiss banks. Only the accounts of the debtor can be frozen in the frame of insolvency proceedings. The assets and claims of the bankruptcy estate are listed in the inventory of the estate. If the Swiss liquidator of the bankruptcy does not intend to bring certain claims of the bankruptcy estate, creditors may request the assignment of the right to bring such claims on behalf of the bankruptcy estate at their own cost and risk against the right to be paid in priority over the recovery proceeds.⁴⁸ In relation to foreign insolvent companies, Swiss privileged creditors have a priority right on the distribution of the Swiss assets, before the balance can be repatriated to the main foreign bankruptcy estate.⁴⁹

45 Federal Court Decision (FCD) 139 III 135.

46 Article 272(1) SDCBA.

47 Article 279 SDCBA.

48 Article 260 SDCBA.

49 Articles 172–174a SPILA.

ii Obtaining evidence

The main obstacles in the obtention of evidence in Switzerland are bank secrecy,⁵⁰ trade and business secrets and data protection. However, these pitfalls can be overcome, in particular in criminal and insolvency proceedings, where bank secrecy cannot be opposed to the state authorities. Recent Swiss case law on mutual assistance in civil matters also shows a trend in favour of the lifting of banking, trade and business secrets in favour of the manifestation of the truth in a foreign civil trial.

It is important to note that Switzerland has a blocking statute, contained in Article 271 SCP, which punishes with up to three years of imprisonment unauthorised activities conducted on Swiss territory on behalf of a foreign authority. The gathering of evidence in support of foreign proceedings is considered a breach of Article 271 SCP.

There are several alternative ways of obtaining evidence in Switzerland: by criminal disclosure⁵¹ and search⁵² orders, by the civil precautionary taking of evidence⁵³ and civil production orders, and by orders of disclosure of information by the bankruptcy authorities.⁵⁴ Together with the right of the parties to request that further evidence be taken (see above), the parties to criminal proceedings have the right to consult the file,⁵⁵ which includes the right to levy copy, and to use such in other proceedings of any kinds, both in Switzerland or abroad. In principle, under Swiss law, parties do not have the obligation to keep an investigation secret. Therefore, they can access and use all the evidence in file, in particular the result of the disclosure orders issued by the prosecutor. Article 108(1) and (3) SPCP provides, however, that restrictions may temporarily apply when there is justified suspicion that a party is abusing its rights or when this is required for the safety of persons or to safeguard public or private interests in preserving confidentiality.⁵⁶

The type of documents that may be obtained include banking statements, KYC documents, visit reports and compliance reports.

Subject to exceptions deriving from the constitutional right to remain silent and not to self-incriminate,⁵⁷ the holder of documents, information and other items is obliged to hand them over to the prosecutor.⁵⁸

Given that Switzerland is a civil law country, obtaining pretrial evidence is difficult. In that particular context, Article 158 SPCP provides for the possibility of taking evidence located in Switzerland at any time if the applicant shows likelihood that the evidence is at risk or that it has a legitimate interest to obtain the requested evidence. The Swiss Federal Court ruled that a legitimate interest is sufficiently demonstrated if the applicant wants to appraise the chances of success of a contemplated legal action.⁵⁹ Precautionary taking of evidence is even granted if a trial will occur outside of Switzerland. The proceedings are conducted

50 Article 47 of the Swiss Banking Act (SBA).

51 Articles 263–268 SPCP.

52 Article 244–250 SPCP.

53 Article 158 SPCP.

54 Article 222 SDCBA.

55 Articles 107(1)(a) and 147 SPCP.

56 There exists one important exception to this principle: a state requesting mutual legal assistance in criminal matters is restricted in its right as a plaintiff in the Swiss criminal proceedings to access the file and to use evidence contained in the file as long as the execution of letters of request in Switzerland is pending.

57 Article 265(2) SPCP.

58 Article 265(1) SPCP.

59 FCD 5A_295/2016.

inter partes. In principle, gag orders are not available. This domestic tool is an interesting alternative route to requesting international judicial assistance (see below). It can be faster, and the rights of the civil plaintiff are broader than under a request for judicial assistance. However, the grounds for refusing the taking of evidence are much more limited in the context of the execution of a request for judicial assistance than in an independent request on the precautionary taking of evidence.

A civil claimant may also obtain evidence after the institution of a civil action. Production orders issued by the civil judge are, however, very narrow as the claimant has to target specific documents or evidence (see above). As the claimant has to quantify its damage by detailed prayers of relief and to allege all the facts necessary to prove the damage immediately in its first submissions,⁶⁰ requesting the production of evidence during a civil trial is an inefficient strategy in fraud-related cases.

In bankruptcy proceedings, the debtor is obliged under threat of penal law sanctions to divulge all assets to the bankruptcy office and to hold himself or herself at the office's disposal.⁶¹ The debtor must open premises and cupboards at a bankruptcy official's request. If necessary, the official may use police assistance.⁶² Third parties who have custody of assets belonging to the debtor or against whom the debtor has claims have the same duty to divulge and deliver up as the debtor.⁶³ Creditors and other interested parties have a right to consult the bankruptcy file⁶⁴ and to use the evidence that it contains.⁶⁵

IV FRAUD IN SPECIFIC CONTEXTS

i Banking and money laundering

Money laundering usually is a key element of Swiss asset recovery cases, essentially for three reasons:

- a* the presence of a Swiss bank account or Swiss financial intermediary often is the only connection to Switzerland of an asset recovery case;
- b* the willingness of Swiss law enforcement authorities to investigate money laundering will help fraud victims to obtain access to key evidence and assets; and
- c* banks or other financial intermediaries may be held liable for the losses caused by money laundering.

Article 305 bis (1) SPC defines money laundering as 'an act that is aimed at frustrating the identification of the origin, the tracing or the forfeiture of assets which he knows or must assume originate from a felony'. Only proceeds of felonies, namely crimes liable to a prison term of more than three years,⁶⁶ can be laundered.

Money laundering by negligence is not punishable. However, the intention is based on the violation of a due diligence duty. It is enough that the person should have known from

60 Article 321(1) SCCP.

61 Article 222(1) SDCBA.

62 Article 222(3) SDCBA.

63 Article 222(4) SDCBA.

64 FCD 93 III 4.

65 The Court of Justice of Geneva recently ruled that a person accused of mismanagement causing a bankruptcy has a legitimate interest to consult the bankruptcy file (DSCO/303/18).

66 Article 10(1) and (2) SPC.

the circumstances that the assets were the product of some kind of severe offence, without necessarily knowing exactly which, and that the offender acted recklessly.⁶⁷ If a person has accepted funds without knowing their criminal origin and only learns it afterwards, any transaction made with respect to these assets after that moment is deemed to be money laundering. Money laundering by abstention is also punishable.⁶⁸

The purpose of the Federal Law on the Prevention of Money Laundering in the Financial Sector (LML) is to create a precise set of due diligence rules for all financial intermediaries, and to provide for an obligation to report suspicions of money laundering. In practice, this provision is very important for asset recovery, as such reports, made to the MROS, often reveal the existence of assets connected to fraudsters that would otherwise not have been found, notably when the media report on large criminal investigations. Upon receiving credible reports, the MROS communicates them to Swiss law enforcement authorities, who initiate criminal investigations, issue freezing orders and usually send requests for mutual assistance to the authorities of the countries where the alleged predicate offences took place.

Money laundering is an offence that is notably meant to protect the person harmed by the predicate felony and, consequently, the person, company or bank⁶⁹ who wilfully launders such proceeds may be liable to compensate the loss caused by the acts of money laundering. Acts of money laundering in the meaning of Article 305 bis SPC may entail civil liability for tort towards the person aggrieved by the predicate offence, if the acts of money laundering prevented its compensation, notably from assets that could have been forfeited and allocated in its favour.⁷⁰ However, the breach of due diligence rules contained in the LML do not entail civil liability, as the objective of those provisions is to safeguard the reputation of the Swiss financial industry and not to protect private interests.⁷¹

ii Insolvency

Swiss criminal law provides for specific provisions on fraud in an insolvency context⁷² that are a subcategory of the crimes committed against property. There exist three felonies, namely fraudulent bankruptcy and fraud against seizure,⁷³ reduction of assets to the prejudice of creditors⁷⁴ and mismanagement causing bankruptcy.⁷⁵ There are also five misdemeanours, namely failure to keep proper accounts,⁷⁶ undue preference to creditors,⁷⁷ subornation in enforcement proceedings,⁷⁸ disposal of seized assets⁷⁹ and obtaining a judicial composition agreement by fraud.⁸⁰ Where the debtor is a company, its corporate bodies are deemed the perpetrators of these offences. There is little case law on the commission of these specific

67 FCD 119 IV 242.

68 FCD 6B_908/2009.

69 Article 102 SCP.

70 FCD 129 IV 322; FCD 133 III 323.

71 FCD 134 III 529.

72 Articles 163–171 bis SPC.

73 Article 163 SPC.

74 Article 164 SPC.

75 Article 165 SPC.

76 Article 166 SPC.

77 Article 167 SPC.

78 Article 168 SPC.

79 Article 169 SPC.

80 Article 170 SPC.

offences. The general trend is that the threshold to prove that a specific behaviour of a *de facto* or *de jure* body triggered the insolvency of the company is high. Criminal law being subsidiary to other legal remedies, a wrong choice of management may more easily trigger civil liability of the corporate bodies than their criminal liability.

Civil legal actions for damages in tort, where the commission of a criminal offence is proved,⁸¹ and for damages for breaches of their duties in the administration, business management and liquidation,⁸² are available to a company, the shareholders and the creditors against the members of the board of directors and all persons engaged in the business management or liquidation of the company. Outside insolvency, the company itself and each shareholder are entitled to sue for any losses caused to the company. A shareholder's claim is for payment of compensation in favour of the company.⁸³ In the event of bankruptcy, the creditors are also entitled to request that the company be compensated for the losses suffered. However, in the first instance the administration of the bankruptcy may assert the rights of the shareholders and the company's creditors.⁸⁴ In other words, any legal action of a creditor or a shareholder in an insolvency context is made on behalf of the bankruptcy estate. Where the rights of the estate are assigned to the creditors,⁸⁵ the assigned creditors that bring the claim before courts are paid first.⁸⁶

Clawback actions are also available where the debtor carried out actions during the five years prior to the opening of bankruptcy proceedings with the intention, apparent to the other party, of disadvantaging his or her creditors or of favouring certain creditors to the disadvantage of others.⁸⁷

iii Arbitration

Tort claims are arbitrable under Swiss law.

Consequently, if a tortious act is in connection with the arbitration clause contained in a contract, the claimant will not be able to bring civil proceedings against a defendant who is party to the arbitration clause, but only arbitration proceedings. This does not apply to non-parties to the arbitration clause, however. This means that the victim of a fraud may have to bring both civil and arbitration proceedings (in addition to criminal proceedings, which are not affected by the existence of an arbitration clause), depending on who the defendants are.

The use of parallel civil and criminal proceedings to gather evidence in support of arbitration proceedings that involve an element of criminality is a powerful tool to supplement the lack of powers of arbitral tribunals to compel third parties to give evidence.⁸⁸ If fraud affects an arbitral award issued in Switzerland, this may be a cause for revision.⁸⁹

81 Article 41 SCO, see above.

82 Article 754 SCO.

83 Article 756(1) SCO.

84 Article 157(1) SCO.

85 Article 260 SDCBA.

86 Article 757(2) SCO.

87 Article 288 SDCBA.

88 See FCD 1B_521/2017 – Republic of Guinea.

89 Article 123 Federal Tribunal Act: 'Revision may be requested if criminal proceedings have established that the decision was influenced by a criminal offense to the detriment of the applicant; sentencing by the criminal court is not required. If the criminal proceedings cannot be carried through, proof may be

If the arbitral award was issued outside of Switzerland, the existence of fraud may be an obstacle to its enforcement in Switzerland due to its contrariety to public policy (see below).

iv Fraud's effect on evidentiary rules and legal privilege

There is no specific legal regime on evidentiary rules and legal privilege in fraud-related cases. Article 321 SPC on professional secrecy only protects secrets held by ecclesiastics, lawyers, notaries, auditors, medical doctors, dentists and midwives, as well as their auxiliaries.

Non-typical activities of attorneys, such as investment advice, financial intermediation or management of companies, are not covered by professional secrecy.⁹⁰ Banking secrecy is not protected by the Swiss Penal Code but by the Swiss Banking Act (SBA).⁹¹ Lawyers may always refuse to cooperate even if they have been released from duty of secrecy.⁹² Attorney–client privilege cannot be invoked by a lawyer to protect him or herself from legal actions brought by a client.

In civil proceedings, a party may refuse to cooperate if the taking of evidence would expose a close relative to criminal prosecution or liability in torts,⁹³ or the disclosure of a secret would be an offence under Article 321 SPC. Any third party may refuse to cooperate in establishing facts that would expose it or a close relative to criminal prosecution or civil liability in torts⁹⁴ or to the extent that the revelation of a secret would be punishable by virtue of Article 321 SPC. With the exception of lawyers and clerics, third parties must cooperate if they are subject to a disclosure duty or if they have been released from the duty of secrecy, unless they show credibly that the interest in keeping the secret takes precedence over the interest in finding the truth.

In criminal proceedings, the accused may not be compelled to incriminate itself. In particular, the accused is entitled to refuse to make a statement or to cooperate in the criminal proceedings. It must, however, submit to the compulsory measures provided for by the law, such as disclosure or seizure orders.⁹⁵ The victim⁹⁶ and the witness, but not the plaintiff, have the right to remain silent.⁹⁷ Functional secrecy of public officers and attorney–client privilege may be opposed to criminal authorities. The scope of attorney–client privilege in the context of internal investigations conducted within the framework of FINMA⁹⁸ enforcement proceedings is currently debated.

furnished by other means.' A bill was sent to the Swiss parliament on 24 October 2018 to amend SPILA provisions on arbitration, notably on revision of arbitral awards. We will report in an upcoming edition once the bill is adopted.

90 FCD 112 Ib 606; FCD 114 III 105; FCD 115 Ia 197, FCD 131 III 660.

91 Article 47 SBA.

92 Articles 171(3) SCPP and 166(1)(b) SCCP a contrario.

93 Article 163(1)(a) SCCP.

94 Article 166(1)(a) SCCP.

95 Article 113(1) SCPP.

96 A victim within the meaning of Article 116(1) SCCP is a person suffering harm whose physical, sexual or mental integrity has been directly and adversely affected by the offence.

97 Article 117(1)(d) SCPP and Articles 169(1)–(2) SCPP.

98 The Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority.

V INTERNATIONAL ASPECTS

i Conflict of law and choice of law in fraud claims

Swiss conflict of laws is governed by SPILA.

Regarding tort claims, Article 133 SPILA provides that when the tortfeasor and the injured party have their habitual residence in the same state, claims in tort are governed by the law of that state; when the tortfeasor and the injured party do not have a habitual residence in the same state, these claims are governed by the law of the state in which the tort was committed; however, if the result occurred in another state, the law of that state applies if the tortfeasor should have foreseen that the result would occur there; notwithstanding the above, when a tort breaches a legal relationship existing between the tortfeasor and the injured party, claims based on such tort are governed by the law applicable to such legal relationship.

Claims for unjust enrichment are governed by the law that governs the legal relationship, either existing or assumed, on the basis of which the enrichment occurred. Failing such a relationship, these claims are governed by the law of the state in which the enrichment occurred.⁹⁹

ii Collection of evidence in support of proceedings abroad

Alternatively to civil letters of requests, parties to foreign proceedings may consider instituting proceedings on the precautionary taking of evidence in Switzerland pursuant to Article 158 SCCP (see above).

Switzerland is a contracting state of the Hague Convention of 1954 on civil procedure (CLaH 1954) and the Hague Convention of 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (CLaH 1970).

The Conventions apply to requests for obtaining evidence or performing some other judicial acts. The extent of the information requested is determined by the requesting judge.¹⁰⁰ The findings that led the requesting judge to send letters of requests cannot be questioned by the requested judge. The sole elements that the requested judge has to check are the likelihood of the reasons given by the third party that holds the requested information not to collaborate¹⁰¹ and the existence of reasons to refuse to grant assistance that the requested state can invoke pursuant to Articles 12 CLaH 1970 and 11 CLaH 1954.¹⁰² The prohibition on fishing expeditions is a limitation that applies to the scope of letters of request.

Persons involved in proceedings of international assistance can be affected in their rights to obtain a decision on the execution of the request for assistance (prohibition of denial of justice) and to refuse to collaborate (secrets protected by law or by a predominant interest), and to the minimum guarantees of fundamental rights (sovereignty or public policy, or both).

To date, Swiss case law ruled that a party to foreign proceedings is only entitled to invoke a denial of justice. The reason given by the courts is that a request for assistance is based on the public law relationship that exists between two states. As such, the parties to the main civil proceedings in the requesting state are not involved in the execution of the request

99 Article 128 SPILA.

100 FCD 4A.340/2015 and 5A.284/2013.

101 FCD 5P.423/2006.

102 FCD 4A.340/2015c.

for assistance.¹⁰³ Parties have no legal standing to appeal against the decision to execute the letter of request if they invoke rights that they could have invoked in the civil proceedings pending in the requesting state.¹⁰⁴

The beneficiary of the secret is entitled to invoke a violation of its right to be heard. The Swiss Federal Court ruled that both the account holder and the beneficial owner of the targeted account have legal standing to appeal against a decision to execute a letter of request.¹⁰⁵ Apart from standing, the Federal Court decided to pierce the corporate veil that separated the account holder from the beneficial owner of the account on the sole ground that the account holder invoked the privacy of the beneficial owner, which is a right to which the sole beneficial owner was entitled to. As long as the beneficial owner and the account holder form a single economic unit, the account holder cannot intervene in the proceedings on execution of the request for assistance, provided that it was able to exercise its rights before the judge of the main civil proceedings in the requesting state.¹⁰⁶ The Federal Court ruled in particular that the violation of the minimum guarantees of neither the account holder nor the beneficial owner can invoke bank secrecy, which is the prerogative of sole banks as third parties that hold the requested information.

The third party that holds the requested information is entitled to invoke a predominant interest in keeping the requested information secret. Spouses and close relatives have an absolute right to refuse to collaborate.¹⁰⁷ Any other third party may refuse to cooperate in establishing facts that would expose itself or a close relative to criminal prosecution or civil liability, or to the extent that the revelation of a secret would be punishable by virtue of Article 321 SPC.¹⁰⁸ Bank and business secrecy are not protected by Article 321 SPC (see above).

The holders of other legally protected secrets may refuse to cooperate if they show likelihood that the interest in keeping the secret prevails over the interest in establishing the truth.¹⁰⁹ Banks usually invoke this legal provision together with Article 47 SBA (banking secrecy) to object to orders of production of documents. The Swiss Federal Court stressed in this regard that banking secrecy is only an exception to the duty of collaboration of the third party that holds information.¹¹⁰ Although the circumstances in which banking secrecy can be successfully invoked are not clearly defined by case law, it never applies in divorce¹¹¹ and inheritance¹¹² matters, or in the context of debt collection proceedings or civil attachments.¹¹³

103 See, e.g., Judgments of the Court of Justice of Geneva ACJC/1367/2012 and ACJC/1078/2014.

104 FCD 4A_340/2015.

105 FCD 5A_284/2013 and 4A_340/2015.

106 FCD 4A_340/2015 and 4A_167/2017.

107 Article 165 SCCP.

108 Article 166(1)(a) and (b) SCCP. This chapter does not discuss the requirements of Article 166 Paragraph 1 Lit. c and d.

109 Article 166(2) SCCP.

110 FCD 4A_340/2015. See also Article 47 Para. 5 SBA: 'The provisions of federal and cantonal legislation on the obligation to disclose information to the authority and to testify in court are reserved'.

111 FCD 5P.423/2006 of 12 February 2007.

112 FCD 5A_284/2013.

113 FCD 129 III 239 and 125 III 391.

iii Seizure of assets or proceeds of fraud in support of the victim of fraud

As a participant in Swiss criminal proceedings, a plaintiff may request that the prosecutor issue freezing orders against assets that are the proceeds of crime or, if these assets are no longer available, against replacement assets.

All assets that are proceeds of felonies or misdemeanours must be forfeited, even if the perpetrator is not identified.¹¹⁴ If crime proceeds are no longer available for forfeiture, replacement claims must be issued against assets that are not crime proceeds.¹¹⁵ Third parties are only protected against these measures to the extent that they were in good faith and provided adequate consideration against the assets.

Under Article 73 SPC, if a crime has caused loss not covered by insurance and if it must be presumed that the offender will not pay compensation for the crime, the aggrieved person is entitled to receive, upon its request, up to the amount of the damages established by a judgment (including foreign) or by agreement with the offender:

- a the fines paid by the offender;
- b the forfeited assets (or the proceeds of their sale);¹¹⁶
- c the replacement assets (when the direct proceeds of crime are no longer available to be forfeited);¹¹⁷
- d the good behaviour bond.¹¹⁸

The rights of persons harmed or third parties expire five years after the date on which official notice is given.¹¹⁹

iv Enforcement of judgments granted abroad in relation to fraud claims

There are no specific provisions on the enforcement of foreign judgments in relation to fraud claims in Switzerland. Civil foreign judgments on fraud cases are considered as civil judgments pursuant to Article 32 of the Convention of Lugano on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters 2007 (CLug) and Article 25 SPILA. They are recognised and enforced following the ordinary rules that apply to any foreign judgment.¹²⁰

Swiss law makes a distinction between the enforcement of money and non-money judgments. This chapter only discusses the enforcement of money judgments that are enforced pursuant to the SDCBA, with assistance from cantonal debt collection offices. The recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments are governed by SPILA and, where applicable, by bilateral or multilateral treaties, in particular by the Lugano Convention between the EU and EFTA countries. Enforcement follows the domestic procedures.

Interlocutory measures ordered in *ex parte* proceedings are not capable of being recognised in Switzerland. Under SPILA, instead of seeking (uncertain) enforcement of foreign interim measures, a claimant can file an application for autonomous interim measures under Article 10(b) SPILA. A foreign interim order is not binding, but the Swiss judge will

114 Article 70 SPC.

115 Article 71 SPC.

116 Article 70 CP.

117 Article 71 CP.

118 Article 66 CP.

119 Article 70(4) SPC.

120 These ordinary rules also apply to domestic and foreign arbitral awards.

generally rely on it. Similarly, with regard to CLug judgments, an application can be made to the Swiss enforcement judge for provisional measures available under the law of that state even if, under the Convention, the courts of another state have jurisdiction over the substance of the matter (Article 31 CLug). Within the scope of application of the Convention, foreign interlocutory orders can be enforced, provided that the defendant was given the opportunity to be heard.

Interlocutory injunctions ordering *in rem* conservatory measures are enforceable pursuant to the provisions of SDCBA. Interlocutory injunctions ordering *ad personam* conservatory measures, like common law world freezing orders, are enforceable pursuant to the provisions of SCCP.¹²¹

The enforceability of a foreign money judgment is decided in the context of debt collection proceedings where the judge decides to set aside the objection to the order to pay under Article 80 SDCBA. For CLug judgments, debt collection proceedings commence concurrently with the request for a declaration of enforceability before the court. The decision on the declaration of enforceability is binding throughout Switzerland. For other foreign judgments, there is no declaration of enforcement, and a decision on enforcement rendered in one particular debt collection proceeding is not binding on another debt collection proceeding. A review of the merits of foreign judgments is expressly excluded by Article 27 SPILA and Article 36 CLug.

An *ex parte* civil attachment order can be granted prior to the filing of the request for an order to pay (see above).

Pursuant to Article 25 SPILA, a foreign judgment is recognised if the judicial or administrative authorities of the state where the decision was rendered had jurisdiction under SPILA, the decision is no longer subject to an ordinary appeal or is final, and there is no ground for denial under Article 27 SPILA. Recognition must be denied *ex officio* if it is manifestly incompatible with Swiss public policy.¹²² Recognition must also be denied if a party establishes that (under Article 27(2)–(4) SPILA) it did not receive proper notice under the law of its domicile or its habitual residence, unless:

- a* the party proceeds on the merits without reservation;
- b* the decision was rendered in violation of the fundamental principles of Swiss procedural law, including the fact that the party did not have an opportunity to present its defence;
- c* a dispute between the same parties and with respect to the same subject matter is the subject of pending proceedings in Switzerland or has already been decided there; or
- d* the dispute has previously been decided in a third state, provided that the latter decision fulfils the prerequisites for recognition.¹²³

Under the New Lugano Convention, judgment given in a state that is a party to the Convention must be recognised in the other states without any special procedure being required (Article 33 CLug). The judgment must be declared enforceable immediately and *ex parte* on completion of the formalities provided for at Article 55 CLug without any review. The decision on the application for a declaration of enforceability can be appealed by either party on the grounds in Articles 34 and 35 CLug.

121 FCD 5A_899/2016.

122 Article 27(1) SPILA.

123 Article 27(2)–(4) SPILA.

The European Court of Justice explained that recourse to a public policy clause can be envisaged only where recognition or enforcement of a judgment delivered in another state party to the CLug is at variance to an unacceptable degree with the legal order of the state in which enforcement is sought inasmuch as it infringes a fundamental principle.¹²⁴

Typical examples of Swiss public policy incompatibility are:

- a* punitive damages entirely disproportionate to the damage caused;
- b* usurious interest rates;
- c* serious violation of the right to be heard, including lack of proper notice and disproportionate costs of the proceedings; and
- d* serious breach of rights of defence.

v Fraud as a defence to enforcement of judgments granted abroad

A foreign judgment obtained by fraud is contrary to public policy and will, therefore, not be recognised and enforced in Switzerland (see above).

In a domestic civil or criminal judgment, a party may apply for the revision of a judgment if criminal proceedings have established that the decision was influenced to the detriment of the party concerned by a felony or misdemeanour, even if no one has been convicted by the criminal court; if criminal proceedings are not possible, proof may be provided in some other manner.¹²⁵

VI CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

New tools for Swiss and foreign insolvency office holders for obtaining pretrial evidence against Swiss banks acting as agents of the debtor

On 8 June 2020, the Swiss Supreme Court¹²⁶ confirmed a judgment of the Court of Appeal of Geneva¹²⁷ that found that the Swiss or foreign administration of a bankrupt estate has a specific public interest in the collection of pretrial evidence for contemplated civil actions against the agents (including Swiss banks) of the debtor.

The issue at stake was whether domestic and foreign insolvency office holders are entitled to obtain internal documents from a Swiss bank with which a debtor held accounts for the purpose of assessing a civil claim against a bank and to collect pretrial evidence.

An agent has a duty to render account to a principal.¹²⁸ On this premise, the foreign liquidators requested the Geneva Bankruptcy Office, which acted as the ancillary liquidator of the debtor, to order the bank to disclose any external and internal documents and information regarding the circumstances in which fraudulent transfers had been made abroad from its Swiss bank accounts. In turn, the bank alleged that internal documents are not covered by the duty to render account.

The Court of Appeal found that the duty to render account does not apply to purely internal documents, defined as documents that the agent is not obliged to hand over to the principal, such as documents that have no bearing on the execution of the mandate and that do not concern matters dealt with in the interest of the principal (e.g., book records,

124 C-394/07 – *Gambazzi*, by reference to C-71/98 – *Krombach*.

125 Article 328(1)(b) SCCP and 410(1)(c) SCPP.

126 FCD 5A_126/2020.

127 Decision of the Court of Appeal of Geneva DSCO/27/20.

128 Article 400(1) SCO.

preliminary studies). Other internal documents, such as reports on visits and other contacts with the client, particularly by telephone, may be subject to the obligation to report, provided that they are necessary for the principal to be able to monitor the activities of the agent; even if this condition is met, the existence of an interest on the part of the authorised representative in the maintenance of secrecy may justify its communication to the principal in a special manner, for example in a redacted form.

The Court of Appeal added that the duty of the agent to inform in bankruptcy proceedings goes beyond the duty to render account under the Swiss Code of Obligations, as the purpose of the duty of potential debtors of the debtor or third parties to collaborate with the bankruptcy office provided for in DCBA is to enable the bankruptcy administration to determine the bankrupt's assets in full, which includes any possible claims in court. The bankruptcy administration must therefore obtain precise information to assess the existence and amount of any possible claim. It is in the interest of the bankrupt estate that it be provided with sufficiently detailed information on the inventoried claim to enable it to file an action that meets the high requirements of the Swiss civil procedure in terms of an allegation of the relevant facts and production of evidence, which presupposes that it has an in-depth knowledge of the factual and legal issues involved.

This development is of particular interest to foreign insolvency officeholders in light of the new cross-border insolvency recognition provisions, in force since 1 January 2019, which have significantly simplified the recognition of foreign insolvencies and allow, under certain conditions, foreign insolvency officeholders to be authorised by the competent Swiss court to act directly in Switzerland rather than through a local liquidator of the Swiss ancillary bankruptcy:

- a* the list of the protected creditors in international insolvencies is extended, and an ancillary bankruptcy must be opened in Switzerland not only where there are privileged and secured creditors, but also where there are creditors of a Swiss branch of the foreign insolvent entity;¹²⁹ and
- b* a legal basis has been created so as to allow Swiss authorities and bodies to cooperate with foreign bankruptcy authorities and bodies.¹³⁰

From a practical point of view, the main change to SPILA is the possibility to waive the ancillary bankruptcy and allow the foreign insolvency officeholder to directly act on the Swiss territory and to dispose of the Swiss assets.¹³¹

There is a strong hope that these new provisions allow a better protection of Swiss and foreign creditors' interests by facilitating the recognition of foreign insolvency proceedings, effective cross-border asset recovery and international cooperation.

Given the efficiency of insolvency tools in international asset recovery, it is expected that the new Swiss cross-border insolvency regime will have a defining effect on asset recovery in Switzerland.

129 Article 166 SPILA.

130 Article 166 SPILA.

131 Article 166 SPILA.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

YVES KLEIN

Monfrini Bitton Klein

Admitted to the Bars of Geneva and Switzerland since 1995, Yves Klein is an international asset recovery lawyer and a partner at Monfrini Bitton Klein. In 2019, he received the *Who's Who Legal* 'Asset Recovery Lawyer of the Year' award.

His main activity is litigating and coordinating transnational asset recovery proceedings before civil, criminal and bankruptcy courts on behalf of victims of economic crimes.

He is also active in anticorruption investigations and in offshore litigation, such as the enforcement of foreign judgments and arbitral awards, the obtaining of evidence and the freezing of assets concealed in Switzerland and abroad.

He is recognised in asset recovery and business crime by *Chambers* (Band 1) and *Who's Who Legal* (WWL 'Thought Leader Global Elite').

Yves Klein has published on tracing and the recovery of assets and anticorruption issues since 1996, and regularly speaks at international conferences on these matters. He is the past chair (2016–2017) of the asset recovery subcommittee of the International Bar Association's Anti-Corruption Committee and is the representative for Switzerland of ICC FraudNet, the world's leading asset recovery network, operating under the auspices of the International Chamber of Commerce.

He is fluent in French, English, Portuguese and Spanish, and speaks some Italian and German.

ANTONIA MOTTIRONI

Monfrini Bitton Klein

Antonia Mottironi is a Swiss international civil, business crime and tax litigator, and counsel at Monfrini Bitton Klein, Geneva. She was admitted to the Geneva and Swiss Bars in 2013 after graduating in law and economics.

She focuses on civil and criminal litigation, especially in the areas of international business crime, international judicial assistance, the enforcement of foreign arbitral awards and judgements, and cross-border insolvency.

Over the past few years, she has handled a great number of international asset recovery cases, which included the laying of civil and criminal attachments and the enforcement of foreign judgments. She has also assisted clients in preparing and coordinating multi-jurisdictional disputes, in particular in common law jurisdictions.).

In her asset recovery practice, she has developed a strong experience in cross-border insolvency cases involving foreign bankrupt banks and fraud schemes (Ponzi schemes, VAT carousels). She has been involved over the past few years in one of the largest art law disputes of the past decade between a Russian oligarch and his Swiss art dealer.

Antonia Mottironi has published on the tracing and recovery of assets as well as on cross-border insolvency. She regularly speaks at international conferences on these matters.

She is actively involved in the International Association of Young Lawyers AIJA and in the Swiss Chapter of the Women's White-Collar Defence Association WWCD.

MONFRINI BITTON KLEIN

Place du Molard 3
1204 Geneva
Switzerland
Tel: +41 22 310 2266
Fax: +41 22 310 2486
yklein@mbk.law
amottironi@mbk.law
www.mbk.law/en

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-434-7